1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE		
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION		
3			
4	January 13, 2009 - 10:06 a.m. Concord, New Hampshire		
5	concord, new	TIAM PSTITE	
б			
7			
8	RE:		
9		AQUARION WATER COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE: Notice of Intent to File Rate Schedules. (Hearing regarding temporary rates)	
10		(nearing regarding comporary races)	
11			
12	PRESENT:	Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Graham J. Morrison	
13		Commissioner Clifton C. Below	
14		Connie Fillion, Clerk	
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Aquarion Water Co. of New Hampshire: Steven V. Camerino, Esq. (McLane, Graf)	
16			
17		Reptg. the Town of Hampton, N.H.: Mark S. Gearreald, Esq. (Town Attorney)	
18		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Rorie Hollenberg, Esq.	
19		Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Stephen Eckberg	
20		Office of Consumer Advocate	
21		Reptg. PUC Staff:	
22		Marcia A. B. Thunberg, Esq.	
23	Cou	rt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	
24			

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE NO
3	WITNESS PANEL: LINDA M. DISCEPOLO MARK A. NAYLOR	
5	Direct examination by Mr. Camerino (Discepolo)	10
6	Cross-examination by Ms. Thunberg (Discepolo)	20
7	Direct examination by Ms. Thunberg (Naylor)	21
8	Cross-examination by Ms. Hollenberg	26
9	Cross-examiation by Mr. Gearreald	31
10		
11		
12	* * *	
13	Administrative notice taken of the final orders in Dockets DW 99-057 and DR 91-023	39
14	orders in bockets bw 99 037 and bk 91 023	
15	* * *	
16		
17		
18	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	
19	Mr. Gearreald	48
20	Ms. Hollenberg	52
21	Ms. Thunberg	53
22	Mr. Camerino	54
23		
24		

1				
2		EXHIBITS		
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	NO.
4	1	Petition for Temporary Rates,	9	
5		Report of Proposed Rate Changes, Testimony of Linda M. Discepolo and Supporting Schedules		
6 7	2	Statement of Net Income Temporary Rate Schedule No. 1	9	
8	3	Permanent Rate Filing (08-29-08)	9	
9	4	Statement of Net Income Schedule No. 1	9	
10	5	Report of Proposed Rate Changes -	9	
11	3	Temporary Rates		
12	6	Order No. 24,648 re: DW 05-119 (07-18-06)	30	
13 14	7	Report of Proposed Rate Changes - Appendix A to Settlement Agreement	30	
15	8	Order No. 24,691 re: DW 06-094 (10-31-06)	30	
16 17	9	Press Release titled "Water Authority Announces New CEO"	30	
18	10	Aquarion Water Company's responses	30	
19		to Town of Hampton's Data Requests, Set No. 1 (01-09-09)		
20	11	RESERVED (Amended version of Exhibit 10 - Responses to Town of	30	
21		Hampton's Data Requests, Set No. 1)	
22				
23				
24				

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning,
3	everyone. We'll open the temporary rate hearing in docket
4	DW 08-098, concerning the request by Aquarion Water
5	Company of New Hampshire. An order suspending tariffs and
6	scheduling a prehearing conference was issued on
7	September 25, 2008, with respect to Aquarion's request for
8	a permanent increase in revenues of 21.08 percent and a
9	proposed temporary increase in revenues of 12.83 percent.
10	A prehearing conference was held on November 5. On
11	December 16th, a secretarial letter was issued approving a
12	procedural schedule in this docket, calling for a hearing
13	on temporary rates for this morning.
14	Can we take appearances please.
15	MR. CAMERINO: Good morning,
16	Commissioners. Steve Camerino, from McLane, Graf,
17	Raulerson & Middleton, on behalf of Aquarion Water
18	Company.
19	CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
20	CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
21	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
22	Mr. Gearreald.
23	MR. GEARREALD: Good morning. My name
24	is Mark Gearreald. I'm the Town Attorney for the Town of
	{DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}

Hampton. Thank you.

1

```
2
                         CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
 3
                         CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
 5
                         MS. HOLLENBERG: Good morning. Rorie
 6
       Hollenberg, Ken Traum, and Stephen Eckberg, here for the
 7
       Office of Consumer Advocate.
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
 8
 9
                         CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
                         CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
10
                         MS. THUNBERG: Good morning,
11
       Commissioners. Marcia Thunberg, on behalf of Staff. And,
12
13
      with me today is Mark Naylor, Jayson LaFlamme, and Doug
14
      Brogan. And, at a later point in this proceeding, Mark
      Naylor will be called as a witness for Staff. Thank you.
15
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay.
16
                         CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
17
                         CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
18
19
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. Well, let
20
      me confirm for the record then. We have representation
21
       from the Town of Hampton as an intervenor. There's also
       Petitions to Intervene, as I understand it, were granted
22
23
       for the Town of North Hampton, the North Hampton Water
       Commission, and for Mr. Cushing, on behalf of himself and
24
```

```
1 several other customers. But I take it that none of those
```

- parties is present today?
- MS. THUNBERG: That's correct.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Just note that
- 5 for the record. And, Mr. Camerino, are you prepared to
- 6 proceed?
- 7 MR. CAMERINO: Yes. Thank you. The
- 8 Company has one witness this morning, that's Linda
- 9 Discepolo, and we would call her to the witness stand.
- 10 (Whereupon Linda M. Discepolo was duly
- sworn and cautioned by the Court
- 12 Reporter.)
- MS. THUNBERG: Sorry, Commissioners.
- 14 Staff just realized, with our witness and with the
- 15 Company's witness, if we can have a moment to poll the
- rest of the parties as to whether it would be preferable
- 17 for them to have both Mr. Naylor and Ms. Discepolo up at
- 18 the same time for convenience of cross-examining. If you
- 19 could permit us that time?
- 20 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Take a moment please.
- 21 Off the record.
- 22 (Brief off-the-record discussion
- ensued.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, back on the

```
1 record.
```

- 2 MR. CAMERINO: Thanks. For the
- 3 Commission's information, the Company's witness is going
- 4 to testify regarding a reduced request for temporary
- 5 rates, which it's my understanding that Staff is in
- 6 support of. So, while we don't have a settlement to
- 7 present, it's my understanding that the Staff's and the
- 8 Company's position at least will be aligned here. And,
- 9 so, I think Ms. Thunberg is thinking that it might make
- 10 sense, for purposes of cross-examination, that the
- 11 Consumer Advocate and the Town be allowed to cross-examine
- 12 both witnesses at the same time.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, maybe we don't
- 14 need a brief recess. Is there any objection,
- 15 Mr. Gearreald or Ms. Hollenberg, or do you need a moment?
- MS. HOLLENBERG: No objection.
- 17 MR. GEARREALD: That's fine. Thank you,
- 18 Mr. Chairman.
- 19 MS. THUNBERG: Thank you, Commissioners.
- 20 MR. CAMERINO: While Mr. Naylor is
- 21 taking the stand, I can identify for the record the
- 22 exhibits that I plan to mark through Ms. Discepolo's
- 23 testimony.
- 24 The first exhibit is the Company's

```
1 temporary rate filing, which was part of its initial
```

- 2 filing in the case. And, that has a cover sheet that says
- 3 "Index", and it has four numbered items, "Petition for
- 4 Temporary Rates", "Report of Proposed Rate Changes",
- 5 "Testimony of Linda M. Discepolo", and "Supporting
- 6 Schedules".
- 7 MR. GEARREALD: Steve, that's the
- 8 temporary?
- 9 MR. CAMERINO: Yes. And, then, Exhibit
- 10 Number 2 would be some corrective pages that were filed
- 11 under cover letter December 31, 2008. It's two pages,
- 12 entitled "Statement of Net Income". And, these simply
- 13 correct two of the pages in the initial filing. The
- 14 historical data in the first two columns of numbers were
- 15 wrong. They don't affect the substance of the case, but
- we want to make sure the record is complete. So, that's
- 17 Exhibit 2.
- 18 Exhibit 3 would be the Company's entire
- original permanent rate filing. Exhibit 4 would be two
- 20 additional pages that were filed under that same
- 21 December 31 cover letter correcting similar pages in the
- 22 permanent rate filing. So, that's two pages entitled
- "Statement of Net Income", and in the upper right-hand
- corner says "Test Year 12 months ended 03-31-08". Again,

```
the two historical years needed to be corrected.
 1
 2
                         And, finally, Exhibit 5 is a package,
       which has not been previously filed. This is essentially
 3
 4
       the schedules from the temporary rate filing redone with
 5
       the Company's revised proposal of a 7.65 percent increase,
       and copies of that have been provided to the parties. If
       we could mark all of those for identification please.
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: The exhibits will be
 8
       marked for identification as described by Mr. Camerino.
 9
                         (The documents, as described, were
10
11
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 1 through
                         Exhibit 5, respectively, for
12
13
                         identification.)
14
                         (Whereupon Mark A. Naylor was duly sworn
                         and cautioned by the Court Reporter.)
15
                         MR. CAMERINO: And, also I would note
16
       for the record, I had a discussion with Ms. Thunberg, I
17
       think the Company is going to resubmit its filing in an
18
19
       electronic format with the pages consecutively numbered.
       So that, when we get to the permanent rate hearing, you'll
20
21
       be able to pick out pages of testimony, because all the
       testimony will be in that one exhibit. So, we'll resubmit
22
23
       that with Bates numbering.
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you.
24
            {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}
```

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 LINDA M. DISCEPOLO, SWORN
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. CAMERINO:
- 4 Q. Ms. Discepolo, would you state your name and business
- 5 address for the record please.
- 6 A. (Discepolo) My name is Linda Discepolo. And, my
- 7 business address is 600 Lindley Street, in Bridgeport,
- 8 Connecticut.
- 9 Q. By whom are you employed and what's your title with
- 10 that employer?
- 11 A. (Discepolo) I'm employed by Aquarion Water Company of
- 12 Connecticut. I am the Director of Rates and
- 13 Regulation.
- 14 Q. What are your job responsibilities?
- 15 A. (Discepolo) I'm responsible for handling the financial
- 16 matters of the Aquarion Water Company's three regulated
- 17 subsidiaries.
- 18 Q. And, what was your role in preparing the filing in this
- 19 case, and particularly with regard to the filing on
- temporary rates?
- 21 A. (Discepolo) I have prepared or caused to be prepared
- the financial exhibits in support of this application.
- 23 Q. And, are your professional and educational
- 24 qualifications set forth in your testimony?

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 A. (Discepolo) Yes, they are.
- 2 Q. And, that, excuse me, testimony is part of the document
- 3 that we marked for identification as "Exhibit 1". Is
- 4 that testimony true and correct to the best of your
- 5 knowledge and belief?
- 6 A. (Discepolo) Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. And, do you have any corrections to make to that
- 8 testimony?
- 9 A. (Discepolo) No, I do not.
- 10 Q. All right. Ms. Discepolo, would you start by just
- giving the Commission an overview of the Company's
- 12 request for permanent rate relief as filed in this
- 13 docket.
- 14 A. (Discepolo) Yes. With respect to the Company's
- 15 permanent rate request, on August 28th we filed the
- exhibits and testimony requesting a \$1,056,070 increase
- 17 to revenues, which equates to a 21.08 percent increase.
- 18 And, it results in a total revenue requirement of
- 19 \$6,065,983.
- 20 Q. Now, as part of its initial filing, the Company also
- 21 requested temporary rate relief. What was the level of
- that temporary rate relief?
- 23 A. (Discepolo) The Company had requested \$642,600 of
- increase in revenues, which equates to a 12.83 percent

_____12

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

- 1 increase, and represents approximately 61 percent of
- 2 the permanent request.
- 3 Q. Okay. Could you summarize for the Commission what the
- 4 reasons are that the Company is seeking a rate increase
- 5 in this case?
- 6 A. (Discepolo) Yes. The Company is significantly under
- 7 earning relative to its allowed rates of returns. If
- 8 you look at the rates of returns as of March 31st, '08,
- 9 which represents the test year, the Company earned a
- 10 return on equity of 5.24 percent and a return on rate
- 11 base of 6.07 percent. If you look at the returns based
- 12 on the calendar year December 31st, 2007, which is --
- 13 that represents the final statements filed with the PUC
- in their annual report, the return on equity was
- 15 6.05 percent and the return on rate base was
- 16 6.44 percent. Currently, as of November 30th, --
- 17 Q. I just want to be, excuse me, Ms. Discepolo, I just
- 18 want to be clear. Those figures you gave are for what
- 19 calendar year?
- 20 A. (Discepolo) December 31st, 2007.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 22 A. (Discepolo) I apologize. Currently, as of November
- 30th, 2008, 12 months ended, our return on equity is
- 24 2.39 percent and the return on rate base is
 - {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 4.60 percent.
- 2 Q. How do those compare to the last allowed rates of
- 3 return for the Company?
- 4 A. (Discepolo) The Company's 2006 rate decision, there
- 5 were no specific return on equity and rate base numbers
- 6 stipulated. So, going back to the return on equity in
- 7 the Company's 2000 case, they were permitted to earn a
- 8 return on equity of 9.5 percent and a return on rate
- 9 base of 8.81 percent.
- 10 Q. And, how do they compare to the rates of return being
- sought in this case by the Company?
- 12 A. (Discepolo) In this case, the Company is requesting a
- 13 return on equity of 10.23 percent and a return on rate
- 14 base of 8.16 percent.
- 15 Q. Could you provide the Commission with an overview of
- the capital investment that the Company has added since
- its last rate increase?
- 18 A. (Discepolo) The Company has invested 5.6 million since
- 19 its last rate case, primarily invested in water utility
- 20 infrastructure and replacement of aging and under sized
- 21 mains, services, meters, hydrants, and things of this
- 22 nature. Along with, I should say, not only is it the
- 23 capital investment, there is a depreciation component
- 24 associated with this. So, there is increased

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- depreciation expense due to the 5.6 million of plant.
- 2 Q. Now, there was also a project related to Hampton Beach
- 3 that the Company had authority to obtain a step
- 4 increase for coming out of its last rate case. What's
- 5 the status of that step increase? Was that
- 6 implemented?
- 7 A. (Discepolo) The Company did not go forward with its
- 8 step increase, and, in turn, is looking to get those
- 9 investments, it's 1.68 million of the 5.6 million,
- 10 reflected in this case.
- 11 Q. But what's the benefit to customers of the Company not
- 12 having submitted for that step increase after the last
- 13 rate case?
- 14 A. (Discepolo) The customers benefited through
- approximately \$400,000 decrease in revenue requirement.
- We didn't seek to get that. So, they benefited from us
- 17 not reflecting in rates this 400,000 of revenues.
- 18 Q. Can you give the Commission an overall sense of the
- 19 change in operating and maintenance expense that the
- 20 Company has experienced since the time that Hampton
- 21 Water Works was acquired first by Kelda and since then
- 22 by Macquarie?
- 23 A. (Discepolo) Yes. Overall, O&M expenses or operating
- 24 and maintenance expenses have increased 0.8 percent

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 since the Company's acquisition in the year 2000. We
- 2 have tried to maintain and control operating expenses
- 3 since the last case. But, certain expenses, such as
- 4 wages, electric power, health and liability insurance,
- 5 have increased since the last case.
- 6 Q. Okay. Earlier you referred to the level of temporary
- 7 rates that the company was seeking when it filed this
- 8 case. And, we indicated earlier that the Company has
- 9 revised its request. Could you summarize the revised
- 10 temporary rate request for the Commission?
- 11 A. (Discepolo) Correct. In the initial request, we had
- 12 asked for a 12.83 percent increase for temporary rates.
- In our revised proposal, we're looking for a
- 14 7.65 percent increase. That equates to \$383,262, and
- it constitutes approximately 36 percent of the
- 16 permanent rate request.
- 17 Q. Can you explain to the Commission sort of just the
- 18 basic parameters that you used to determine the data
- 19 that would go into the revised request, as opposed to
- the original request?
- 21 A. (Discepolo) What the Company did was they used a 13
- 22 month average of rate base. They used the last
- 23 published return on equity of 9.5 percent in its cost
- of capital. It reflected the most current cost of

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 debt. Only the actual test year expenses were used.
- 2 We did not pro forma any of the increases. And, we
- 3 eliminated surcharge revenues that is non-recurring
- 4 associated with rate case and surcharge implementation
- from the last case.
- 6 Q. What's the bill impact if the Company approved -- if
- 7 the Commission approves the Company's revised request?
- 8 A. (Discepolo) Customers' bills would increase by
- 9 approximately a 7.7 percent increase.
- 10 Q. Okay. Could you just summarize why, you've explained
- 11 that the Company is under earning, but just explain why
- 12 the Company is seeking an increase in rates for
- 13 purposes of temporary rates, as opposed to, for
- 14 example, just leaving the current level of rates in
- 15 effect?
- 16 A. (Discepolo) From what I understand, there's a statute
- 17 which would enable the Company to earn a reasonable
- 18 return as the case is proceeding. And, also, temporary
- 19 rates, by virtue of being allowed to institute that, it
- 20 would enable -- well, it would avoid a significant
- 21 surcharge increase once the case has actually been
- 22 adjudicated.
- 23 Q. Now, although the Company is seeking an increase in
- rates on a temporary basis, could you summarize for the

17
[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

- 1 Commission the effective date that the Commission --
- 2 the Company is seeking on temporary rates and how you
- 3 are requesting that that work?
- 4 A. (Discepolo) What we plan on doing is, effective
- 5 December 15th, 2008, that would be the date that
- 6 permanent rates would be retroactive to. But, given
- 7 this proceeding, we assume that effective February 1st
- 8 would be the implementation of the temporary rates.
- 9 So, therefore, for the period from 12/15 to 1/31/09,
- 10 temporary rates would really be what the existing rates
- 11 are. There would be no increase. From the period
- 12 February 1st, '09 through the end of the case,
- 13 temporary rates would be in place. And, then,
- 14 permanent rates would be adjudicated upon the
- 15 Commission's decision, but it would be retroactive back
- 16 to December 15th, 2008.
- 17 Q. I'm going to ask you to walk us through that maybe a
- 18 little bit more slowly, because you referred to
- 19 "temporary rates" twice. First of all, can you just
- 20 explain why is the Company asking that temporary rates
- 21 be made effective as of December 15th, and is it on a
- 22 service rendered or bills rendered basis?
- 23 A. (Discepolo) The temporary rates is based on a service
- rendered basis. So, any revenues prior to

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

- 1 December 14th would be at the old rates; revenues or
- 2 service after December 15th would be at the new rates,
- 3 initially, at the temporary rate basis, and it would be
- 4 adjudicated back -- well, actually, I said that
- 5 improperly. On 12/15 would be where we would
- 6 adjudicate permanent rates back to. Temporary rates
- 7 would not begin until February 1st.
- 8 Q. Okay. I'll try it a different way.
- 9 A. (Discepolo) Sorry.
- 10 Q. Is the Company seeking temporary rates effective as of
- 11 December 15, 2008?
- 12 A. (Discepolo) The temporary rates would go into effect
- 13 February 1st.
- 14 Q. When you say "temporary" --
- 15 CHAIRMAN GETZ: I think we have a --
- 16 BY MR. CAMERINO:
- 17 Q. It's our terminology.
- 18 A. (Discepolo) Yes.
- 19 Q. I just want to get it -- make it clear. The
- 20 reconciliation that will be done at the end of the case
- 21 will relate back to what date?
- 22 A. (Discepolo) December 15th, 2008.
- 23 Q. Okay. And, the increase in rates, on a temporary
- 24 basis, of the 7.65 percent, what date is the Company

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 proposing that that be implemented?
- 2 A. (Discepolo) It would be beginning February 1st.
- 3 Q. Okay. And, so, during the period that rates are
- 4 reconcilable, from December 15, 2008 through February
- 5 -- through January 31, 2009, rates would remain at
- 6 their current level, and effective February 1, 2009,
- 7 they would be increased by the 7.65 percent? Do I have
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A. (Discepolo) Or 7.7 percent, correct.
- 10 Q. So, when the reconciliation is done, there will be two
- 11 different periods of reconciliation. Is that a fair
- 12 summary?
- 13 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. And, has -- well, I'll withdraw that. In its
- 15 petition, the Company requested that the Commission
- waive PUC Regulation 1203.05, because it had proposed
- 17 temporary rates on a bills rendered basis. Is the
- 18 Company still seeking such a waiver?
- 19 A. (Discepolo) From what I understand, it would not be
- 20 necessary, because we're going to base it on a services
- 21 rendered basis.
- 22 Q. Okay. Lastly, I just want to -- I asked you whether
- you had any changes to your temporary rate testimony
- and whether that was prepared by you or under your

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 direction. I want to ask you the same question as to
- 2 the revised temporary rate schedules that we marked as
- 3 "Exhibit 2", the revised permanent rate pages that we
- 4 marked as "Exhibit 4", and the Company's temporary rate
- 5 proposal that we marked as "Exhibit 5". Were those all
- 6 prepared by you or under your direction?
- 7 A. (Discepolo) Yes, they were.
- 8 Q. And, are they true and correct to the best of your
- 9 knowledge and belief?
- 10 A. (Discepolo) Yes, they are.
- 11 Q. And, do you have any changes to them?
- 12 A. (Discepolo) No, I do not.
- 13 Q. Okay. I will not ask you that as to the permanent rate
- filing, since there's a lot of people's testimony in
- there.
- MR. CAMERINO: Thank you. That
- 17 concludes my direct.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
- 19 Ms. Thunberg.
- 20 MS. THUNBERG: I just have one cross of
- 21 Linda, before I move onto direct with Mr. Naylor.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MS. THUNBERG:
- Q. And, Linda, if you could just turn to Exhibit 5. It's {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}

21
[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

- the Report of Proposed Rate Change for Temporary Rates.
- 2 A. (Discepolo) Okay.
- 3 Q. And, it shows the 7.65 overall increase, and then, by
- 4 customer group, you have the variation around
- 5 7. whatever. There's a "3.48". If you could just
- 6 explain what group that pertains to?
- 7 A. (Discepolo) We are not proposing to make any changes to
- 8 antenna rentals or miscellaneous charges, so that
- 9 category it would appear overall increase is
- 10 3.48 percent.
- MS. THUNBERG: Okay. Thank you.
- 12 MARK A. NAYLOR, SWORN
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. THUNBERG:
- 15 Q. Mr. Naylor, if I could just have you state your name
- and business address for the record.
- 17 A. (Naylor) My name is Mark Naylor. And, my business
- 18 address is the New Hampshire PUC, at 21 South Fruit
- 19 Street, in Concord, New Hampshire.
- 20 Q. And, you work for the Commission?
- 21 A. (Naylor) I do.
- 22 Q. And, can you please describe your responsibilities here
- 23 at the Commission?
- 24 A. (Naylor) I'm the Director of the Commission's Gas and

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 Water Division.
- 2 Q. And, could you please explain what you consider to be
- 3 your area of expertise?
- 4 A. (Naylor) I'm an accountant. That's my education and
- 5 background.
- 6 Q. Okay. And, is the work that you perform here within
- 7 your area of expertise?
- 8 A. (Naylor) Yes.
- 9 Q. And, prior to today, have you testified before this
- 10 Commission?
- 11 A. (Naylor) Yes, I have.
- 12 Q. And, has that testimony been within your area of
- 13 expertise?
- 14 A. (Naylor) Yes.
- 15 Q. And, is the testimony you are providing today also
- within your area of expertise?
- 17 A. (Naylor) I certainly hope so.
- 18 Q. Can you please describe your involvement with this
- 19 docket?
- 20 A. (Naylor) Yes. At this point, we've reviewed the
- 21 Company's temporary rate request, and talked with them
- 22 about the -- about the range of increases they were
- seeking, originally a larger increase, now we are
- 24 considering a somewhat lesser increase. So, it's been

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 pretty much just reviewing what they have requested for
- 2 temporary rates and whether we think that's reasonable.
- 3 Q. Can you please describe which documents or what the
- 4 extent of documents you have reviewed for this docket?
- 5 A. (Naylor) Reviewed the Company's filing for temporary
- for rates, to some extent its filing for permanent rates,
- 7 its most recent annual reports, as well as the Audit
- 8 Staff's review of the Company's 2007 earnings.
- 9 Q. And, has Staff come to an opinion as to whether
- 10 Aquarion is presently under earning?
- 11 A. (Naylor) Yes, we have. And, we believe the Company is
- 12 under earning.
- 13 Q. Is that opinion based on the reports on file with the
- 14 Commission?
- 15 A. (Naylor) Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. And, you've heard the testimony as to the proposed
- 17 effective dates for reconciliation and for
- implementation of a new temporary rate, or the
- increase. What is your opinion as to the just and
- 20 reasonableness of the effective dates?
- 21 A. (Naylor) We believe it's a reasonable -- reasonable
- 22 approach. Customers were notified of the proceeding
- 23 prior to the effective date of temporary rates. So, we
- 24 believe it's reasonable.

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 Q. And, can you please give some or identify what some of
- the benefits are for a temporary rate increase?
- 3 A. (Naylor) Well, in this case, the Company's permanent
- 4 rate request is approximately 21 percent overall. So,
- 5 a temporary rate increase of this magnitude would help
- 6 to phase in rates. Of course, we don't know if the
- 7 21 percent will be the final number. But this is a
- 8 little more than one-third of that amount, so it
- 9 certainly minimizes rate shock. And, certainly, with
- an increase for temporary rates, it will help to
- 11 minimize any recoupment amounts that may result from
- the conclusion of the proceeding.
- 13 Q. And, Mr. Naylor, are you familiar with the adjustment
- 14 statute, RSA 378:29, and how it is applied?
- 15 A. (Naylor) Yes, I am.
- 16 Q. And, can you please explain how reconciliation will
- 17 work with respect to temporary rates and once permanent
- 18 rates are determined?
- 19 A. (Naylor) Once permanent rates are determined by the
- 20 Commission, they will be reconciled back to the
- 21 effective date of temporary rates, which would be
- December 15th, as just described a few moments ago.
- 23 And, customers will either be refunded or will be asked
- 24 to pay the difference in the temporary rates and

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 permanent rates.
- 2 Q. And, Mr. Naylor, with the December 15th, '08 and
- February 1, '09 effective dates, do you see any problem
- 4 with Staff reviewing the reconciliation that the
- 5 Company will ultimately provide at the end of this
- 6 case?
- 7 A. (Naylor) No, I don't see a problem.
- 8 Q. And, do you believe that the temporary rate charges a
- 9 customer will receive from the rate increase proposed
- 10 today, do you believe that that is just and reasonable?
- 11 A. (Naylor) Yes, I do.
- 12 MS. THUNBERG: Thank you. Staff has no
- 13 further questions.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
- 15 Mr. Gearreald?
- MR. GEARREALD: I was just standing up
- 17 to stack my papers. I don't want to go ahead of the
- 18 Office of Consumer Advocate. They're next, I guess.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, who would like to
- 20 go next? Ms. Hollenberg?
- 21 MS. HOLLENBERG: It doesn't matter. I
- 22 can go next, if you'd like.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay.
- MS. HOLLENBERG: Good morning.

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 WITNESS DISCEPOLO: Good morning.
- 2 WITNESS NAYLOR: Good morning.
- 3 MS. HOLLENBERG: I just have a few
- 4 questions.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MS. HOLLENBERG:
- 7 Q. For Ms. Discepolo, you mentioned, you spoke on direct
- 8 the specifics of the temporary rate increase, and I
- 9 just want to clarify that this temporary rate increase
- 10 would be across the board percentage-wise for all
- 11 customer classes?
- 12 A. (Discepolo) Yes, it's approximately 7.7 percent across
- 13 the board.
- 14 Q. And, do you have a sense of what the average increase
- 15 would be for a residential customer?
- 16 A. (Discepolo) Just a second.
- 17 Q. Thank you.
- 18 A. (Discepolo) Currently, residential, 5/8ths inch
- 19 residential customer, using 67,000 gallons of water,
- 20 incurs a bill of \$397.99. With the revised temporary
- 21 rates, the customer's annual bill would be \$428.77.
- 22 Q. Thank you. And, you spoke with Mr. Camerino about the
- 23 effective dates. And, I just wanted to ask you to
- confirm that the effective date of 12/15, you have

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 mentioned that was on a service rendered basis, and the
- 2 effective date of February 1, 2009 is also service
- 3 rendered effective?
- 4 A. (Discepolo) Correct.
- 5 Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Naylor, you were asked on direct
- 6 what you reviewed in coming to your opinion about the
- 7 just and reasonableness of the proposed temporary
- 8 rates. And, you were asked if "your opinion was based
- 9 on the reports on file with the Commission?" What
- 10 reports were you referring to?
- 11 A. (Naylor) The Company's rate filing, including its
- 12 permanent rate filing and temporary rate filing; the
- 13 Company's recent annual reports; and the Audit Staff's
- review of the Company's 2007 earnings.
- 15 Q. Did you review any responses to temporary data
- 16 requests?
- 17 A. (Naylor) Yes, I did.
- 18 MS. HOLLENBERG: Excuse me a moment
- 19 please.
- 20 (Atty. Hollenberg conferring with Mr.
- 21 Traum and Mr. Eckberg.)
- MS. HOLLENBERG: No other questions.
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Mr. Gearreald.

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 MR. GEARREALD: Thank you. I have some
- 2 exhibits that I handed to all parties and to the reporter.
- 3 May I also hand these to the Commissioners and then
- 4 reference them by number?
- 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Please.
- 6 MR. GEARREALD: Thank you.
- 7 Mr. Chairman, may I first reference as Exhibit, the Town's
- 8 Exhibit -- do we use numbers or letters?
- 9 CHAIRMAN GETZ: We would be beginning
- 10 with Exhibit 6 as our next marked exhibit.
- 11 MR. GEARREALD: Okay. Town's Exhibit 6
- 12 would be this Commission's order in the last rate increase
- 13 case, DW 05-119, Order Number 24,648, dated July 18, 2006.
- 14 That would be "Exhibit 6". The next one would be, as
- 15 Exhibit 7, is the "Report of Proposed Rate Changes -
- Appendix A to the Settlement Agreement", that resulted
- from the July 18, 2006 order, and that would be
- 18 "Exhibit 7". Exhibit 8 will be this Commission's order in
- 19 case DW 06-094. This is the Order Number 24,691,
- 20 October 31, 2006 order. That was this Commission's order
- 21 approving the indirect acquisition of Aquarion Water
- 22 Company of New Hampshire by Macquarie Utilities,
- 23 Incorporated. Exhibit Number 9 is a press release, which
- is announcing, on November 21, 2008, that Larry Bingaman,

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 who is one of those -- the former Vice President of
- Operations for Aquarion Water of New Hampshire, whose
- 3 affidavit appears in the permanent rate increase filing,
- 4 as becoming, effective January 1, 2009, the new President
- 5 and Chief Executive Officer of South Central Connecticut
- 6 Regional Water Authority, the empty chair today. Exhibit
- 7 Number 10 are the responses of Aquarion Water Company to
- 8 Hampton's data requests, Set Number 1, and that would be
- 9 "Exhibit 10". Received a hard copy yesterday, electronic
- 10 copy Friday. And, as I understand it, Attorney Camerino
- 11 has a correction to make to one of those responses, and
- 12 I'll leave that to him for the moment.
- 13 MR. CAMERINO: Yes. Hampton 1-1, the
- 14 very first response in that package, the second sentence
- 15 says that the answer is "confidential". And, after this
- was submitted, we determined that Mr. Bingaman's
- 17 compensation, because of his level within the Company, is
- 18 not confidential. We can put that on the transcript. I
- 19 would suggest that the hard copy of the exhibit actually
- 20 have that line crossed out or it could cause confusion
- 21 down the line.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We'll mark for
- 23 identification as "Exhibit 6" through "10" the exhibits as
- described by Mr. Gearreald.

30 [WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

1	(The documents, as described, were
2	herewith marked as Exhibit 6 through
3	Exhibit 10, respectively, for
4	identification.)
5	CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, I guess I would -
б	I would suggest that we substitute a new page to
7	Exhibit 10, showing the cross out with respect to the
8	confidentiality of Mr. Bingaman's compensation.
9	MR. CAMERINO: Maybe what we could do
10	is, since the package is a package, it seems to me the
11	Company is going to have to submit a revised response to
12	all the parties for their files anyway. And, if we
13	reserve an exhibit number, we can submit that to the
14	Commission at the same time.
15	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. No objection to
16	that?
17	MR. GEARREALD: No objection.
18	MS. HOLLENBERG: No objection.
19	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Then, we will
20	hold Exhibit 11 for the amended version of the data
21	responses filed with the parties on January 9, 2009.
22	(Exhibit 11 reserved)
23	MR. GEARREALD: Thank you. Ms.
24	Discepolo.

31 [WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

- 1 WITNESS DISCEPOLO: Yes.
- 2 MR. GEARREALD: Welcome back to New
- 3 Hampshire.
- 4 WITNESS DISCEPOLO: Thank you.
- 5 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 6 Q. Now, Ms. Discepolo, as I mentioned in response to
- direct examination, you are employed not by Aquarion
- 8 Water Company of New Hampshire, but rather by Aquarion
- 9 Water Company Connecticut, is that correct?
- 10 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 11 Q. And, you actually have been so employed with Aquarion
- 12 Water Company Connecticut, or its predecessor, I
- believe since 1979, is that correct?
- 14 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 15 Q. Congratulations. You had mentioned that Aquarion Water
- 16 Company New Hampshire was acquired by Kelda
- 17 Corporation in the year 2000. But is it not the case,
- 18 ma'am, to refresh your memory from Mr. Bingaman's
- 19 testimony in the permanent rate increase, that the
- 20 actual year of acquisition was 2002? Does that refresh
- 21 your memory? Would you like to see his testimony?
- 22 A. (Discepolo) No, I understand -- well, Kelda acquired
- the Company in 2000, I believe, yes.
- Q. I draw the Commission's attention to Mr. Bingaman's $\{ \text{DW } 08\text{-}098 \} \text{ (RE: Temporary Rates) } \{ 01\text{-}13\text{-}09 \}$

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 affidavit in that regard, where he gives some history.
- 2 And, I believe he uses the year "2002".
- 3 A. (Discepolo) That was the year that we acquired Aquarion
- 4 Water Company of New Hampshire, the previous Hampton
- Water Works.
- 6 Q. "We" being whom?
- 7 A. (Discepolo) Aquarion Company. I wasn't referring to
- 8 the parent, Kelda, I was talking about Aquarion
- 9 Company, acquired those entities in 2002, correct.
- 10 Q. I see. Thank you. And, so, prior to the acquisition
- 11 by Kelda Corporation, which was the predecessor owner
- from Britain, to the current owner, the Macquarie
- 13 Group, through Macquarie Utilities, Inc., Hampton Water
- 14 Company was a stand-alone type of operation, was it
- 15 not?
- 16 A. (Discepolo) Yes, it was.
- 17 Q. And, as you have mentioned in --
- MR. CAMERINO: Excuse me.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A. (Discepolo) I say "stand-alone", but it was part of the
- 21 American affiliates, American Water Company. It was a
- 22 subsidiary of American Water Company.
- 23 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 24 Q. Your testimony in the permanent rate increase filed,

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- which I believe is "Exhibit 2", is that right, ma'am?
- 2 MS. THUNBERG: Three.
- 3 MR. CAMERINO: Three.
- 4 MR. GEARREALD: Three, thank you.
- 5 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 6 Q. Your testimony on Page 5 of the Exhibit 3 indicates
- 7 that "full rate relief will allow the Company", meaning
- 8 Aquarion Water New Hampshire, "to operate on a
- 9 stand-alone basis, internally generate sufficient funds
- 10 that are necessary to maintain its utility plant, pay a
- 11 reasonable return to its shareholder, and keep its
- 12 borrowing needs at reasonable levels." Did I read that
- 13 correctly?
- 14 A. (Discepolo) Yes, you did.
- 15 Q. Ma'am, you mentioned that an investment of
- 16 approximately \$5.6 million in total capital
- 17 improvements since the last rate increase, is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. (Discepolo) Yes, the Company invested 5.6 million.
- 20 Q. Of that 5.6 million, that amount which is attributable
- 21 to the Hampton Beach Infrastructure Project that you
- also mentioned is approximately 1.6 million of that,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. (Discepolo) Correct, 1.68.

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 Q. Is that approximately 30 percent?
- 2 A. (Discepolo) Subject to check, I'll agree.
- 3 Q. Thank you. With regard to that 30 percent percentage,
- 4 that does not include the recent work to the Hampton
- 5 Water Tower, is that correct?
- 6 A. (Discepolo) No, it does not.
- 7 Q. The Mill Road Water Tower, I believe, --
- 8 A. (Discepolo) Correct.
- 9 Q. -- that's referred to in Mr. Bingaman's testimony?
- 10 A. (Discepolo) Yes, that's not reflected in the rates
- 11 here, in the permanent rates requested. That was
- 12 requested in the step increase.
- 13 Q. And, so, is the investment made in the Hampton Mill
- 14 Road Water Tower included in the 5.6 million that you
- 15 mentioned?
- 16 A. (Discepolo) No.
- 17 Q. Is not. Mr. Camerino mentioned that, on direct
- 18 testimony through you, that Macquarie Utilities, Inc.
- 19 had delayed in seeking to recover a step increase that
- was approved previously, is that correct?
- 21 A. (Discepolo) The Company opted to not go forward with
- its temporary -- they agreed not to go forward with
- that step increase, correct.
- Q. Well, actually, that was not an agreement, as you are $\{ \text{DW } 08\text{-}098 \} \ (\text{RE: Temporary Rates}) \ \{ 01\text{-}13\text{-}09 \}$

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

- 1 talking today, like an agreement with Staff as to a
- 2 temporary rate increase, that was actually a management
- 3 decision, isn't that correct?
- 4 A. (Discepolo) It was. Due to the fact that we were in
- 5 the process of being acquired, management determined
- 6 that it would hold off for the step increase associated
- 7 with that investment.
- 8 Q. And, that was a matter of public relations as much as
- 9 anything, wasn't it?
- 10 A. (Discepolo) It was a management decision.
- MR. GEARREALD: I'll refer the
- 12 Commission in this regard to Mr. Larry Bingaman's
- testimony in Exhibit 3, the permanent rate file, his
- 14 affidavit -- I'm sorry, his testimony, on Page 9,
- answering the question on Line 9," Why did the Company
- delay implementing the step increase for the Hampton Beach
- 17 Main Replacement Project in 2006?"
- 18 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 19 Q. Ms. Discepolo, the step increase that was delayed,
- 20 according to Mr. Bingaman's testimony, was directly
- 21 related to the investment in the Hampton Beach
- 22 Infrastructure Improvement Project, isn't that correct?
- 23 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- Q. And, that particular rate increase, had it been sought {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 to be implemented, was approximately 4.85 percent,
- 2 isn't that correct?
- 3 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 4 MR. GEARREALD: That figure,
- 5 Commissioners, appears in Mr. Bingaman's affidavit on Page
- 6 7, beginning in response to the question on Line 16,
- 7 "Please summarize the Company's request for rate relief
- 8 that is the subject of this proceeding."
- 9 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 10 Q. Ms. Discepolo, you have responded to Mr. Camerino's
- 11 questions regarding your thoughts on the rate of return
- on investment and return on equity, is that correct?
- 13 A. (Discepolo) I've mentioned what our current rates of
- 14 returns are, yes.
- 15 Q. And, you had also given an opinion on whether or not
- the current earnings in that regard, in your view, were
- fair or not, correct?
- 18 A. (Discepolo) I noted that the returns were lower than
- 19 what was last allowed.
- 20 Q. Ah. Now, in terms of what was last allowed, there was
- 21 not a specific order on what would be allowed either
- for rate of return, overall rate, or return on equity
- in the July 18, 2006 order that last approved permanent
- 24 rates, was there?
 - {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 A. (Discepolo) No, it was not stipulated.
- 2 Q. And, that would be Exhibit 6. Neither stipulated nor
- 3 designated by the Commission, correct?
- 4 A. (Discepolo) Correct. It was a settlement.
- 5 Q. And, so, the comparison you're making to the current
- 6 rate of return to what you're indicating was the
- 7 allowed rate of return, in fact, goes all the way back
- 8 to the prior rate decision in Order 23,412, issued in
- 9 the year 2000, isn't that correct?
- 10 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 11 Q. As mentioned in your testimony on the temporary rate
- increase, Exhibit 1, Steve, is it? Yes. On Page 2,
- 13 Paragraph 3. So, it is the case, is it not, that prior
- 14 to the last rate increase, before the one currently
- being sought, was implemented by order July 18, 2006,
- isn't that correct?
- 17 A. (Discepolo) That's the prior order, correct.
- 18 Q. Exhibit 6. And, as a result of that last rate increase
- 19 two and a half years ago, proposed rate changes were
- 20 implemented in accordance with the Settlement Agreement
- 21 that I believe you may have had a hand in drawing up,
- isn't that correct?
- 23 A. (Discepolo) That looks familiar, yes.
- Q. Exhibit 7. That rate increase, ma'am, actually $\{ \text{DW } 08\text{-}098 \} \ (\text{RE: Temporary Rates}) \ \{ 01\text{-}13\text{-}09 \}$

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 averaged at that time 18.64 percent, isn't that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. (Discepolo) That's correct.
- 4 Q. And, what is the full rate that the Company is seeking
- 5 at this point in its permanent rate increase?
- 6 A. (Discepolo) We have requested a 21.08 percent increase.
- 7 Q. That's over and above the rate increase that was
- 8 implemented in accordance with the 2006 order?
- 9 A. (Discepolo) Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. Are you aware, ma'am, that prior to the 2006 increase,
- 11 the previous rate increase was, as I believe you've
- 12 mentioned in discussing the rate of return that was
- allowed, was in the year 2000?
- 14 A. (Discepolo) Correct.
- 15 Q. Six years before that. In case number, I believe, and
- 16 I'd ask the Commission to take judicial notice of its
- own records in this regard, case number DW 99-057. Are
- 18 you aware, ma'am, that the rate increase I believe that
- 19 was prior to that time went all the way back to 1992?
- 20 A. (Discepolo) I'll have to take your word for it, I'm not
- 21 quite sure.
- MR. GEARREALD: I would ask the
- 23 Commission to take notice of the case DR 91-023,
- implementing an order in 1992.

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 MR. CAMERINO: Could we, just the last
- 2 two items that Mr. Gearreald asked that administrative
- 3 notice be taken of, get a clarification whether
- 4 Mr. Gearreald is just referring to the orders or the
- 5 entire docket?
- 6 MR. GEARREALD: I'm happy to have the
- 7 Commission look at its docket. But I'm referring, in this
- 8 case, to the timing of the rate increases and the span
- 9 between them, versus --
- 10 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, I take it you're
- 11 asking us to take administrative notice of the final
- orders in these cases for the propositions that you've
- stated in the questions you've been asking the witness.
- 14 And, we'll take administrative notice of the final orders
- in that regard.
- MR. GEARREALD: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 (Administrative notice taken of the
- 18 final orders in Dockets DW 99-057
- 19 and DR 91-023.)
- 20 MR. GEARREALD: Thank you very much.
- 21 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 22 Q. Ms. Discepolo, the missing witness today, of course,
- 23 who is also in the permanent -- has testimony in the
- 24 permanent rate increase materials is Larry Bingaman,

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 the former Vice President of Operations of Aquarion
- Water Company, both in Connecticut -- I'm sorry, both
- 3 Massachusetts and New Hampshire, is that correct?
- 4 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 5 Q. And, Mr. Bingaman, I believe I'm sure you're aware, has
- 6 recently left for employment with a public entity, is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. (Discepolo) Yes, he's employed by the Regional Water
- 9 Authority.
- 10 Q. And, prior to his departure, Mr. Bingaman was
- 11 essentially the head of overall operations for Aquarion
- 12 Water Company New Hampshire, is that right?
- 13 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 14 Q. His position, as a separate position, has not been
- filled yet, isn't that correct?
- 16 A. (Discepolo) That is correct. The Company is actively
- 17 looking for a replacement.
- 18 Q. Mr. Bingaman departed I believe effective January 1,
- 19 2009, is that correct?
- 20 A. (Discepolo) Or December 31st, yes.
- 21 Q. I refer to Exhibit 9, this is the announcement of
- 22 Mr. Bingaman's departure. How long, to your memory,
- had Mr. Bingaman been associated with Aquarion Water
- Company New Hampshire, do you know?
 - {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 A. (Discepolo) I'm not sure. I think six years, maybe
- longer.
- 3 Q. I believe you're right. And, that the various
- 4 positions he's held going back, I believe, to 1990 are
- 5 reflected in his testimony in Exhibit 3, on Page 2.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Gearreald, you keep
- 7 referring to Mr. Bingaman as "the missing witness here".
- 8 I'm trying to understand where we're headed. Are you
- 9 taking some kind of position that his testimony or his
- 10 presence is necessary for us to make some kind of finding
- 11 with respect to the proposal for an increase in temporary
- 12 rates?
- 13 MR. GEARREALD: What I'm -- My position
- in this regard, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Bingaman's
- 15 position was central to the operation of Aquarion Water
- 16 Company New Hampshire. My understanding, from the data
- 17 request, is that his position is now being filled by
- 18 others who already have duties with other affiliates of
- 19 Aquarion Water New Hampshire. And, it's unclear who
- 20 will take his place. Efficiency of operations is
- 21 something that has been critical to this Commission in
- 22 allowing Macquarie to acquire Aquarion indirectly, and as
- 23 reflected in this Commission's order in Exhibit 8. So
- that this is a period of uncertainty for Aquarion, in

42 [WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

- 1 terms both of its internal structure and its delivery of
- 2 service, and, therefore, a period of uncertainty for
- 3 ratepayers.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, you're making a
- 5 link to the request for temporary rates based on that or
- 6 is that an issue for permanent rates?
- 7 MR. GEARREALD: I believe it's an issue
- 8 for both of them, Mr. Chairman. Because, as the statute
- 9 indicates, in RSA 378:27, in fixing temporary rates, not
- 10 only is "reasonable rate of return" taken into account,
- but also if "the public interest so requires". And, I
- 12 believe the public interest here is not only with regard
- 13 to the rate of increase, which is one of the prongs of our
- 14 objection to the temporary rate being sought, but also to
- 15 the instability at this point of the delivery of services
- due to Mr. Bingaman's departure. And, therefore, in
- 17 ascribing a rate that will go into effect in the magnitude
- 18 we're talking about, we ask the Commission to take that
- into account as well. That the person who had been in
- 20 charge for many years --
- 21 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Well, I
- 22 understand the argument. Mr. Camerino, do you have
- 23 something?
- 24 MR. CAMERINO: Well, I guess I'm not

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo|Naylor]

- 1 prepared quite yet to address the issue of relevance,
- 2 although I absolutely don't see the relevance to temporary
- 3 rates. But there was one statement by Mr. Gearreald which
- 4 is incorrect and inconsistent with the exhibit that he
- 5 submitted, and I think it was unintentional. There are
- 6 plans, as the responses to data requests indicate, to fill
- 7 Mr. Bingaman's position, and it is being filled currently
- 8 on an interim basis by people who have other
- 9 responsibilities with the Company. But the position is
- 10 being filled. And, obviously, a position like that can't
- 11 be filled instantaneously. So, I didn't want to leave the
- impression that the Company's plan is to have that
- position permanently occupied by somebody who's already
- 14 with the Company and has other responsibilities.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Well, let's
- proceed with the cross-examination, then you'll both be
- 17 given the opportunity for closing statements.
- MR. GEARREALD: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 20 Q. With regard to who is filling Mr. Bingaman's duties at
- this point, are you familiar with the Company's
- responses to Hampton's data requests?
- 23 A. (Discepolo) Yes.
- Q. One of the questions asked, and this is Hampton 1-8, in $\{ \text{DW } 08\text{-}098 \} \ (\text{RE: Temporary Rates}) \ \{ 01\text{-}13\text{-}09 \}$

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 Exhibit 10, "who is filling Mr. Bingaman's duties at
- 2 this point?" And, the Company, although objecting,
- gave a response that, "In the interim, Howard Dunn
- 4 would be filling most of Mr. Bingaman's former
- 5 responsibilities." Mr. Dunn is identified as "Vice
- 6 President for Operations" for the company you're
- 7 affiliated with, Aquarion Water Company Connecticut,
- 8 is that right?
- 9 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 10 Q. And, also, I see that he serves as "Vice President of
- 11 Aquarion Water Company New Hampshire". That seems to
- 12 be the answer there I think Mr. Camerino was getting
- 13 at, is that correct?
- 14 A. (Discepolo) Yes.
- 15 Q. Also, he's "being assisted by Mr. Jeffrey Farrell
- relevant with regard to the pending rate case", and
- 17 "Mr. Farrell is the Director for Business Improvement
- 18 for Aquarion Water Company Connecticut", correct?
- 19 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 20 Q. Aquarion Water Company Connecticut is, of course,
- 21 with whom you're employed, isn't that right?
- 22 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 23 Q. And, both those individuals work with you down in
- 24 Connecticut, isn't that right?

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 2 Q. Mr. Bingaman was not a Vice President with Aquarion
- 3 Water Company Connecticut, is that right?
- 4 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 5 Q. He was Vice President of Aquarion Water Company New
- 6 Hampshire and also Aquarion Water Company -
- 7 Massachusetts, isn't that right?
- 8 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 9 Q. And, Mr. Bingaman's salary, as has been responded to in
- 10 Hampton Data Request 1-1, striking the confidentiality,
- was listed as "\$181,761", isn't that right?
- 12 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 13 Q. Of which \$68,160 was allocated to Aquarion Water
- 14 Company New Hampshire, correct?
- 15 A. (Discepolo) That is correct.
- 16 Q. Turning now to Mr. Naylor. Mr. Naylor, you've
- 17 indicated on direct examination that you have done some
- 18 analysis of the data requests that had been received by
- 19 the Commission Staff, isn't that correct?
- 20 A. (Naylor) I have reviewed them, yes.
- 21 Q. And, in those data requests, the Commission Staff was
- 22 raising various questions about the data that was
- presented in its initial set of requests, isn't that
- 24 correct?
 - {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- 1 A. (Naylor) To some extent, yes.
- 2 Q. And, in particular, focusing on the 13 month area, is
- 3 that right?
- 4 A. (Naylor) That's correct.
- 5 Q. And, after the Commission Staff propounded those data
- 6 requests, Aquarion responded not only with answers, but
- 7 also with revisions to its original submission, isn't
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A. (Naylor) That's correct.
- 10 Q. Mr. Naylor, in terms of the percentage being sought for
- 11 a temporary rate increase, are we in the same position
- 12 now that we were somewhat back in the 2006 rate
- increase, in that the Staff's position on agreeing to a
- 14 temporary rate increase is essentially a gut reaction
- that this is a fair amount?
- 16 A. (Naylor) Well, I don't think I'd characterize it quite
- 17 that way. The Company's permanent request is for a
- 18 little over 21 percent. What they are requesting is
- just a little more than one-third of that amount.
- 20 We've looked at their earnings level. We've looked at
- 21 the level of their capital investments in recent years.
- 22 And, believe that the request before the Commission now
- for these temporary rates is reasonable.
- Q. Nevertheless, that opinion is not based on the kind of $\{DW\ 08-098\}\ (RE:\ Temporary\ Rates)\ \{01-13-09\}$

[WITNESS PANEL: Discepolo Naylor]

- analysis of rate of return that, in scrutiny and audit,
- 2 that will be conducted for purposes of the permanent
- 3 rate increase?
- 4 A. (Naylor) That is true.
- 5 MR. GEARREALD: Thank you. That's all
- 6 the questions I have.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Any redirect,
- 8 Ms. Thunberg or Mr. Camerino?
- 9 MS. THUNBERG: None for Staff.
- MR. CAMERINO: No thank you.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Any further
- 12 questions for these witnesses?
- 13 (No verbal response)
- 14 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing none, then
- 15 you're excused. Thank you. And, I take it there's no
- 16 further witnesses?
- MS. HOLLENBERG: No thank you.
- 18 MR. GEARREALD: No, sir. Thank you.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Are there any objections
- 20 to striking the identifications and admitting the exhibits
- 21 into evidence?
- MS. HOLLENBERG: No.
- MS. THUNBERG: No.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing no objections,

```
1 they will be admitted into evidence. Is there anything
```

- 2 further, before we provide an opportunity for closing
- 3 statements?
- 4 (No verbal response)
- 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then
- 6 we'll begin with you, Mr. Gearreald.
- 7 MR. GEARREALD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 8 This permanent and temporary rate increase comes on in a
- 9 short time frame from a couple of orders that this
- 10 Commission entered back in 2006. The first was DW 05-119,
- 11 July 18, 2006, which implemented a Settlement Agreement of
- agreed upon rates averaging, as shown in Exhibit 7,
- 13 18.64 percent. That had been the first rate increase that
- 14 had been sought since the last order of the Commission in
- the year 2000, of which I've asked you to take judicial
- notice. And, in turn, that last 2000 order came after an
- order that occurred, as I understand it, back in 1992.
- 18 So, the gap in time, in terms of rate increases being
- 19 sought, has narrowed significantly, from eight years, to
- 20 six years, and now to three years. It also comes on the
- 21 heels of the acquisition by Macquarie Group, indirect
- 22 acquisition, that is -- whose history is set forth by the
- 23 Commission in the order dated October 31, 2006, which is
- 24 Exhibit 8.

```
1
                         The Commission was concerned in that
 2
       order with several things. One was "What kind of service
       will be delivered under the new ownership? What will the
 3
       local office look like? What will the local operation
 5
       look like?" Also at that time, the Commission needed to
       satisfy itself, in order to approve that acquisition, as
       to whether this acquisition would be in the public
 8
       interest. And, on Page 11 of the order, the Commission
       had evaluated the comments received from the public at a
 9
       September 11, 2006 public hearing, similar to the one that
10
11
       the Commission will be holding in March of this year in
12
       Hampton. And, some of the comments indicated skepticism
13
       that the merger would not raise rates. And, I would
14
       suggest to you that the skepticism was well founded, for
       now we are facing a rate increase request of 21 percent,
15
       on top of the 18.6 percent that was approved by the
16
       Commission in a settlement agreement back in 2006. It's a
17
       bit much.
18
19
                         It also, I believe, reflects items
       outside of -- outside of Hampton, in the sense that the
20
21
       new owner of Aquarion is the Macquarie Group, an
       Australian bank. And, I think the Commission can take
22
23
       notice of the economies worldwide of what has happened to
       banks and their investments. And, so, I would suggest to
24
            {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}
```

```
1 the Commission that the large rate increase permanently
```

- 2 being sought is as much a function of the various
- 3 investments that are made worldwide in utilities by
- 4 Macquarie, as they are by the local operation in Hampton.
- 5 And, again, as taxpayers in the United
- 6 States are being asked to bail out the problems of auto
- 7 makers, I think there is some analogy here to the
- 8 ratepayers of Hampton, who are the property taxpayers, and
- 9 Hampton is 76 percent of those ratepayers, are being asked
- 10 to assist the Macquarie Group in this economy. And, it
- 11 does get to be a bit much.
- 12 We do not oppose, and I have so
- 13 indicated to Mr. Camerino before, the implementation now
- of the step increase of 4.85 percent as the temporary
- 15 rate. This is a rate that has been documented, been fully
- documented before with this Commission. It had been
- 17 approved as a potential step increase in the July 18, 2006
- 18 order. And, we would be fine with that. We're also fine
- 19 with, if that were the number, with the increase being
- 20 implemented, the temporary rate increase, in accordance
- 21 with the staggered schedule that Ms. Discepolo and
- 22 Mr. Camerino I think ultimately successfully outlined to
- 23 you, whereby a zero increase would occur from February --
- from December 15 to February 1, and then whatever increase

```
is approved by the Commission goes into effect February 1.
```

- 2 However, when the permanent rate increase is approved,
- 3 there would be a back recovery through December 15th. As
- 4 long as the temporary rate is the lower figure of
- 5 4.85 percent, we do not object to that staggering,
- 6 especially because it reflects services rendered, rather
- 7 than bills rendered. And, the Office of Consumer Advocate
- 8 took great pains to make sure that that was the way that
- 9 was going to be.
- 10 So, there is some uncertainty here also
- 11 with respect to Mr. Bingaman, who is the face of Aquarion
- 12 in Hampton for many years. Mr. Bingaman would come to New
- 13 Hampshire frequently, was very hands-on, in terms of his
- 14 approach. And, now, he is gone, and his place is being
- 15 taken, at least temporarily, by those who are located down
- in Connecticut, whether they're affiliated on paper or not
- 17 with Aquarion Water New Hampshire. So, this is a period
- 18 of uncertainty not just in the economy for our taxpayers
- 19 in Hampton, but also for our ratepayers in Hampton to this
- 20 utility, who are uncertain as to how the operation will
- 21 proceed from here. Will the individual that is
- 22 necessarily brought in from the outside, if that is true,
- get compensation in the range Mr. Bingaman was paid? Will
- 24 he be working out of an office in Massachusetts or

```
1 attempting to do that through an office down in
```

- 2 Connecticut?
- 3 Again, it feeds into the uncertainty
- 4 that we submit is adequate reason for the Commission not
- 5 to approve the 7.7 percent increase, but instead to
- 6 approve the 4.85 increase that had already, as a
- 7 certainty, been submitted back in 2006.
- 8 So, I appreciate your hearing me out on
- 9 this. And, thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
- 11 Ms. Hollenberg.
- 12 MS. HOLLENBERG: Thank you. The Office
- of Consumer Advocate is pleased with the Company's
- 14 willingness, excuse me, to modify its temporary rate
- increase, not only the percentage amount increase, but
- also the effective date and how that was implemented. We
- did have concerns about the original filing. And, we were
- 18 concerned that all customers be treated equally. And, we
- 19 believe that the service rendered effective date and the
- 20 mechanism that's proposed accomplishes that or addresses
- 21 that concern. We do not oppose the percentage amount
- 22 increase. And, we are looking forward to working with the
- 23 Company to investigate the permanent rate filing. Thank
- 24 you.

```
1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
```

- 2 Ms. Thunberg.
- 3 MS. THUNBERG: Thank you, Commissioners,
- 4 for your time today. Staff does recommend the Commission
- 5 grant Aquarion Company's temporary rate request. The
- 6 Staff has reviewed the reports on file with the Commission
- 7 and believes that the Company is under earning.
- 8 Consistent with RSA 378:27, upon a demonstration that the
- 9 Company is under earning, the Commission can grant a
- 10 increase to allow the Company a reasonable rate of return
- 11 during its investigation of this docket. And, Staff
- 12 believes that that threshold has been met.
- 13 Staff further believes that the
- 14 temporary rate increase will be in the public interest,
- 15 because it will mitigate the Company's under earning
- status. And, in the event permanent rates are higher than
- temporary rates, it will lower the recoupment.
- 18 And, lastly, with respect to the issues
- 19 that Hampton has raised, Staff looks forward to working
- 20 with the Company and other intervenors on those issues
- 21 during the permanent rate phase of this docket. Thank
- 22 you.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
- Mr. Camerino.

```
MR. CAMERINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 1
 2
       As was noted during the testimony, the Company is seeking
       a permanent rate increase of 21.08 percent, which I think
 3
       the Commission is aware from other water company rate
 5
       cases in recent years, while it is a substantial number in
       the water industry, it is not a large increase at all. A
       lot of the reason for that increase is the fact that water
 8
       companies have a significant need to replace aging
       infrastructure, and the replacement facilities are far
 9
       more expensive than the rate base that they are replacing,
10
       and that has a significant upward pressure on rates.
11
12
                         In this case, the Company, in the spirit
13
       of compromise, is proposing a temporary rate increase of
14
       7.65 percent, which is just over 30 percent or just over a
       third of its permanent rate increase. And, as
15
       Mr. Gearreald himself noted, the Company, in the prior
16
       order, was authorized for an increase of 4.85 percent as a
17
       step increase, subject to review of the expenditures for
18
19
       the Hampton Beach Improvement Project. And, so, the
20
       differential we're talking about in this case is between
21
       that 4.85, which conceptually had been approved, the
       actual increase needed to be reviewed still for
22
23
       expenditures, versus the 7.65. So, if the Commission just
24
       assumes any additional capital expenditures at all since
            {DW 08-098} (RE: Temporary Rates) {01-13-09}
```

```
that last rate case, you very quickly get to the 7.65 and
```

- 2 beyond.
- We appreciate that Hampton has indicated
- 4 its support for temporary rates in concept and at that
- 5 lower level. But the Company does need to earn at some
- 6 reasonable level in the interim while the case is pending.
- And, more important we think, we do not want to have an
- 8 overly large surcharge at the end of the case, if the
- 9 Company's request for permanent rates is approved. We'd
- 10 like to try to minimize the amount of that surcharge.
- 11 And, that's why the Company is not requesting a lower
- 12 level of temporary rates.
- 13 With regard to Mr. Bingaman, I'm not
- 14 really quite sure what to say, other than people make
- 15 career decisions and move on, the Company was very
- 16 disappointed to have him leave, and is moving
- 17 expeditiously to fill his position. Those things happen,
- 18 and a position like that can't be filled overnight, if
- 19 you're trying to get somebody who is appropriate for it.
- 20 Mr. Gearreald made a lot of statements
- 21 about the Macquarie acquisition and what has happened
- 22 since then. There's no evidence in the record to support
- 23 that. And, in fact, we believe that, when we have the
- 24 permanent rate hearing, the evidence will be to the

```
contrary. That the Macquarie acquisition has led to a reduction in cost, not an increase. So, there are no
```

- 3 merger costs that are in this rate request.
- 4 Similarly, his reference to losses at
- 5 the Macquarie Bank level, first of all, I have no idea
- 6 whether what he said is even correct. But, even if it
- 7 were, there is no bank involved in this company. There is
- 8 a company called "Macquarie Utilities, Inc.", this was all
- 9 in the merger docket, that is owned by investment funds,
- 10 primarily pension funds, and that is the parent of this --
- 11 that is the owner of this company. Whatever happened at
- 12 the bank level or in Australia has no relevance in this
- case, and there is no evidence in this case about that.
- So, it has no bearing on temporary rates.
- This case was filed in August. The
- Company needs temporary rate relief. That actually is --
- 17 the fact that it's been so long since the filing has a lot
- 18 to do with why there was a discussion previously, and the
- 19 agreement you've heard here, as to the effective date on a
- 20 service rendered basis back to December 15th. In an
- 21 effort not to be surcharging customers right now on a
- temporary rate basis, we've agreed that the current rates
- 23 would remain in effect, but they would become effectively
- temporary rates, with service rendered as of December 15,

```
1 2008, and then the actual rate on the bill would be
```

- 2 increased by the proposed 7.65 percent with service
- 3 rendered effective February 1 of 2009. And, when the
- 4 Commission renders its final decision in the case, that
- 5 would be reconciled back to the December 15th, 2008 date.
- 6 The Company has submitted, in its
- 7 permanent case, a number of proposals related to
- 8 conservation rates and other things that would affect rate
- 9 design. None of those are being proposed for
- 10 implementation on a temporary rate basis. The temporary
- 11 rates that are ordered by the Commission would be put in
- 12 place proportionately across the board, and any rate
- design changes would be implemented at the time of
- 14 permanent rates.
- The Company believes that statutorily
- and as a matter of the public interest it is entitled to
- temporary rate relief in this case, and thinks that its
- 18 proposal is extremely reasonable. It has tried to
- 19 compromise. I think even the difference with the Town of
- Hampton is relatively small. And, if the Commission
- 21 simply considers that, since the last rate case, there has
- 22 obviously been substantial additional capital investment,
- I think you will see that the 7.65 percent average
- increase is reasonable. Thank you.

1		CHAIRMAN GETZ	Thank you.	Okay. Thank
2	you, everyone. We	will now clo	se this hearin	ng and take
3	the matter under a	dvisement.		
4		(Whereupon th	ne hearing ende	ed at 11:17
5		a.m.)		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				